

**Town of Scituate
Conservation Commission
Town Hall Selectmen's Hearing Room
Meeting Minutes
August 19, 2015**

Meeting was called to order at 6:19 p.m.

Members Present: Mr. Snow, Chairman, Ms. Caisse, Mr. Harding, Mr. Parys, Ms. Scott-Pipes and Mr. Schmid.

Also Present: Patrick Gallivan, Agent, Carol Logue, Secretary

Agenda: Motion to amend the agenda to include discussion of possible joint meeting with Planning for the Public Safety Building, 8/27/15 at 7:30 p.m. or the Middle School 9:00 p.m. and Appoint a member from Conservation to the Beach Advisory Committee; Town Counsel advised holding off on the Fern Properties, LLC Partial Certificate of Compliance for 214 Clapp Road and the Request for Determination for Lot 9, #2 Studley Road Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Scituate/DPW, Tilden from Beaver Dam to Turner (multi-use path)*

Justin Lamoureux from Horsley Witten was present at the hearing. This is Phase I of Tilden Trail; starts at Beaver Dam to the south and to the north Tilden; 6' wide asphalt with granite curb in the variable right of way. Land available is tight. Wetland area is at the intersection of Tilden & Turner. Fifty feet of the proposed work is within the 100' buffer, but removing more pavement than adding. Marked trees are to be removed and three stone walls removed and rebuilt. Mr. Snow: have a concern about the 13 trees, some are big. CPC proposed project through town meeting. Had public meetings with residents and it was well received. Would like to save the trees. Ms. Scott-Pipes: the trade off is the ability to walk to Wampanoag school. Basically the trees are in one clump, shown in red on the plan between 117 & 127 Tilden, 16" to 20" in diameter. They are mostly yews and Norway maples; a lot are carved up for wires above. Mr. Harding and Ms. Caisse: would like to see some sort of replacement. DPW is up to replacing. Silt socks will be used on all the existing basins and drop inlet structures. Will have a preconstruction meeting. Jacklyn Carr: appreciate the replacement of trees. Every inch of public way is being used, not sure how you go about planting trees on private property. In Greenbush there were several trees placed on homeowner's properties. Always a little tricky, but hopefully folks along the route will work with the town and figure out the right tree. Everything is ADA accessible. Talked the residents and one house has 3 large trees and wants to get rid of them. When trees come down things look a lot different, may want something. Ms. Carr will take trees. Make sure they budget for a number of trees. There are many dwarf trees available now. Motion for a neg 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." The Commission supports replacement of trees where possible. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Scituate Historical Society, 16 Country Way (eradicate knotweed)

Dave Ball was present at the hearing. Samantha Woods from NSRWA asked if the society would be interested in eradicating knotweed at the Grist Mill. A Marshfield park is doing the same thing. Rodeo would be used and the same person at both sites. Work description was written by Samantha. It's approximately 500 sq. ft. Dave believes it more like 1,000. Intent is to work on all of it. Ms. Scott-Pipes: area on the brook side of the post & rail fence? Scattered here and there. Herbicide is safe around the brook? Once it gets eradicated would you plant some other wetland species? Believe it will take 2 or 3 applications. Putting in something to stabilize the area? Mr. Schmid: water resource area. Mr. Snow: flows into estuaries. Can we request that the person notify the office and contact Pat to be sure everyone is on the same page and get something in writing to know who the person is and when then intend to apply. Mr. Gallivan: request in writing to spray a larger area than the 500 sq. ft. Get us a total amount. Can put in a condition. Greg Morse: what is the distance to the reservoir? 200'? Maybe 125'. It is all downstream. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." 1. Notify the Conservation Office before work begins. 2. Supply the Commission the name of the applicator and when the project will start. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Foley, Bailey's Causeway (canoe & kayak ramp)*

Jake Foley was present at the hearing. Mr. Snow: Jake completed a life badge project at the Driftway and did a great job. At Bailey's Causeway on the 2nd parking lot, proposing to build a kayak/canoe ramp. There is no ramp and it is causing damage to the bank. Also building a bench and installing a sign with a map of the area and a list of wildlife. Mr. Schmid: does he ramp go into the water? No, just to the edge. Have been to the Waterways Commission and they have approved. Talked to Kevin Cafferty at DPW and he told him they may be asphaltting and putting curbing in the parking area. Mr. Snow: think it is a great project, but may encounter a few problems; possibly may have to deviate somewhat, but stay in touch. Keep the Commission posted on where you are and what you are doing. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Final approval from DPW shall be submitted to the Conservation Office. Contact Conservation before work begins and during each phase of the project. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Needs Frank Signature on a letter. Tried to coordinate a meeting 5 times.

Mr. Snow: Garrett, another scout just finished a section of trail at the Hubbel property on Indian Trail. Had him move it around to keep away from the wetlands.

Wetlands Hearing: NOAA, end of Sunset Road (improvements to parking area) (cont.)

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering and Ben Haskell were present at the hearing. Last meeting NOAA presented a crushed stone parking area for overflow parking. Since then the plan has been revised to include additional topography within Henry's Lane, including Mr. Mirabito's property to demonstrate no runoff would be directed there. Proposed switch grass plantings along the fence and property line to the wharf area and a cross section of the parking area showing 12" base and 4" of crushed stone surface; no pavement. Wetland scientist Brad Holmes confirmed delineations. Also notified the two additional abutters; received correspondence from both with their approval. Mr. Schmid: Thanks for all the adjustments. Mr. Gallivan: 15 parking spots? Yes. Brad Holmes did contact us as to wetland vegetation. Tim White, how many yards of material is being added to the site? Elevation changing? Essentially stripping the loam 6" to 10" and replacing with 12" of gravel and 4" of crushed stone. Drainage is not being altered. Within 6" of what is there today. Pretty close to an equal amount being removed as being added. Motion to close the hearing Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Venti/Vaughn/Walker/Knier/Thomas, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55 Surfside Road (maintain revetment) (cont.)

No DEP File #. Motion to continue the hearing to September 2, 2015 at 6:35 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Sutton, 174 Branch Street (access Curtis – Lot 4 – new build/septic) (cont.)

Waiting for a stormwater review. Motion to continue the hearing to September 2, 2015 at 6:40 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Bradlee, 10 Montvale (gravel turnaround/drainage/plantings) (cont.)

Greg Morse from Morse Engineering and Chris Bradlee were present at the hearing. Mr. Bradlee clearing approximately 320 sq. ft. on his property. A planting list is provided from Brad Holmes to restore the area in its entirety. The other two pieces of work are drainage in Montvale Ave. to direct runoff to the wetland and a 12' x 18' turnaround. Runoff from Mann Hill creates a skating rink at the Bradlee's. Discussed drainage with DPW and Kevin Cafferty stated it was a private road, therefore the town had no jurisdiction. What exists now is a gravel driveway for two cars. There is no way for anyone to turn around; create a gravel turnaround next to the utility pole. Ms. Scott-Pipes: drove down and had no problem; think the turnaround is huge already. Ms. Caisse agree about the turnaround. It would also give the town a place to put snow. Mr. Schmid: is the proposed area in the wetlands? It is in the buffer, across from flag 14, 8' away from the wetland. All permeable? Yes, gravel. Mr. Parys: if the silt is stopped from going to the wetlands, it is an improvement. Mr. Gallivan: need as much natural buffer as possible between the property and the pond; the lawn goes right up to it, already a gravel spot added and now the turnaround is cleared. Planting plan has some good ideas, but it was really lawn establishment up to the pond and then boulders were placed there too. There was a revetment there, rocks were just placed on top. Dug up some of existing grass where he was cutting the phragmites. Stormwater issue is between the properties on the street. Don't want abutters impacted. Received approval from the neighbor. The infiltration trench will provide treatment before water reaches the pond. Mr. Morse showed pictures of where the planting and trench would go. Joann Utas, owner of the two lots across the street. Montvale Ave. goes right up to the pond. There is no turnaround on the next street (Graves), for public safety reasons it is all grassed. The torrenchial rain the other day all diverted to the wetland off his property. Trucks turnaround in that area all the time. Don't feel a turnaround in a wetland is called for. Mr. Snow: Naturally occurring wetlands line is already a piece of this driveway or turnaround, historically that area was probably filled. Personally think the drain is practical, but inclined to think there is no need to disturb more area. Already a large area in a wetland or what was once a wetland. Ms. Utas: he destroyed the wetlands, bulldozed everything out, now he wants to do another turnaround. Commission asks for mitigation when there has been a disturbance and a list of the plants was submitted. Mr. Harding: good with the planting and drain, but no more encroachment; there are hundreds of people that have the same problem. Would the Commission move the turnaround so it farther up Montvale Ave., which would provide more of a buffer. The proposed area seemed to be the the least intrusive. Mr. Snow: Moving 15' up would require removing more vegetation; essentially all in the same buffer. Could there be a proposal for more mitigation? Take out more grass? Commission is not here to make a deal. If you want to think about making turnaround smaller or propose more mitigation, applicant can continue. Motion to continue the hearing to September 2, 2015 at 6:45 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Town of Scituate, Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (public safety bldg)* No BOH

Don Walter, John Richardson, Jennifer Johnson, and Mark Manganello were present at the hearing. Application is for a new public 27,000 sq. ft. Police headquarters & Fire substation at the corner of Mann Lot Road and 3A. First Parish fire station stays. Ingress only from 3A into a public parking, egress to Mann Lot, 2 way traffic. Secured parking in back, two apparatus bays for fire equipment. Sally port, basically a garage. Building main entrance off 3A. Community entrance to a training or community room, and emergency use in major storms. Main public entrance, secure lobby, dispatch and records. Police in back, Fire to the left. Much less square footage required for fire than police. Upstairs is administration for both. Mark Manganello: wetland corner of Mann Lot & 3A relatively small, peculiar wetland, appears to be isolated but catch basin in the wetland that receives wetland water and runoff from 3A. Shallow groundwater which overflows to catch basin, but not sure where it goes from there; probably into another wetland; classified as a BVW. Also wetlands more than 100' away from septic leaching field, also BVW. Jennifer Johnson from Nitsch: intention is to maintain a trail loop for the Ellis property. Plan shows red is the building; gray is parking; there is a fence area for police and fire only; green are detention basins; and a grassed field 100' x 100' for emergency landing, which will be taken away when the middle school is built. Berm area separates complex and trails. Intention is to have a few parking spots when Ellis is full. Groundwater is very high across 18" and 30" below ground for the whole site. That's why septic field across Mann Lot. Soils were much better. Board of Health is reviewing the design. As far as drainage design, not able to recharge a lot, high groundwater and tight soils. Decided gravel wetland design, sediment forebay, open ponded area, flow into larger basins for short term ponding to a subgrade gravel area saturated all the time. High quality treatment of water. Depth of ponding 2', 4' below grade; designed to work in groundwater. Collects to level spreader. Runoff rates are being matched; not increasing runoff. Gravel wetland is treating the runoff from the parking area and a portion of roof runoff; Merrill wanted us to recharge at least some and this was the only area available; did meet minimum DEP standards. A depression discharges to a culvert that runs under 3A. Mr. Gallivan: there is a suggestion we have a joint review of the stormwater with Planning. Haven't had a full review from Josh Bows yet. Tom Liddy from Merrill reviewed the wetland line, should have that report soon. Ms. Scott-Pipes: parking lot west side, concerned about the 6 spots in the 50' buffer. Mr. Snow: overview of wetland, vegetation wise? Mature red maples, tupalos, white pine, sweet pepperbush, dense areas of greenbriar. Hydric soils throughout the area. Can't tell wetland begins or ends; there is no core wetlands, no skunk cabbage, no place like that. Mr. Schmid: water filtration system works with groundwater? Stormwater flows into the gravel wetland and there is an outlet that keeps groundwater from intersecting, only pushes treated

water through the subgrade and out. Mr. Snow: some of this Ellis property is under Conservation. How large is the piece of property controlled by the school? Twenty acres; 6 acres used for project. Alternative would be to shift deeper into the woods and more wooded area would be cut, other than making it smaller. Ms. Scott-Pipes: 7/8 of the roadway from 3A is in the buffer. There should be consideration for that buffer zone impacted by the pervious surface. Look for some mitigation. Create a berm to buffer the project from the Ellis trails. How high is the berm? 6' to 8'. Is there a possibility to vegetate? Could look at that. Fairly dense forested area, what we don't have is an emerging area, more natural area. As long as there wasn't a maintenance issue. Would suggest the back side of the berm is more of a meadow mix; could be a wildflower mix, would make a habitat, could possibly mow once a year, in the fall. Mr. Gallivan: what can be done to get out of the 50' buffer? It is up to the applicant to show why they can't stay out of that area. Any traffic issues? Town is going through a separate study, traffic analysis. Working with them a little bit to provide information. Signal at the interesection and emergency signals. Looking at sight distance; distance from the apparatus bay and intersection. Might be selective pruning after. There might be collective meetings. There have been countless user group meetings regarding parking requirements; settled on what you see here. Worked within the utility poles for the location of the driveway. Mr. Schmid: in the normal course of operation how many people are stationed at the site? Enormous back parking lot. Size is in response to the needs, not only of everyday changing of shifts, but also community room use. Ms. Caisse: anyway those 6 parking spots could be removed? Is it necessary ingress off of 3A? Felt that if there wasn't, once a person saw the building they would have missed the entrance. There are 48 in front and 52 in back, ideal for the operation of the building; community room can hold 50; 48 includes the 6. Mr. Snow: 6 isn't a big space to get out of the buffer. Mr. Schmid: All sorts of diesel trucks would be parked in the back. Catch basins will all have hoods for filtration and there is an O & M plan; Josh Bows has reviewed. Think about the 50' buffer, see if there is anyway you can reconfigure, think about plantings on the berm. Motion to continue the hearing to September 27, 2015 at 7:30 p.m. on a site to be determined Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

For anyone here for Studley Farm, Town Counsel suggested to hold off for Lot 2 and the Partial Certificate of Compliance. No action will be taken tonight. Mr. Snow recused himself and left the room.

Request for Determination: Fern Properties, Lot 9 - #2 Studley Farm Road (new build)* BOH reviewing Project was opened. Town Counsel recommended a continuance. Motion to continue the hearing to September 2, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Town of Scituate, 606 Chief Justice Cushing Hwy. (middle school) (cont.)\ Don Walter, John Richardson, Jennifer Johnson, Bill Brown, Brian Bacon, and Sean Nolan were present at the hearing. Made a few minor adjustments. Respected the vernal pool, protected and enhanced the area, adjusted the observation dock. Proposing a fiberglass open grid system for light. Considering the larger dock area might be a bid alternate. Still will have the access and the beginnings of a dock, which would limit the number of students. Mr. Snow: If we consider this part of the mitigation we wouldn't normally accept if it might not be built. There is a need for traffic separation in one area close to the vernal pool, so vegetation was increased on the sloped area. Mark Manganello: Merrill reviewed and didn't have substantial changes, just labeling questions about the vernal pool which will be clarified on the plans. Mr. Gallivan: Tom Liddy concurred with Mark. Commission is doing the stormwater for this project. Jennifer Johnson: Merrill's comments were fairly benign, more documentation for the priotory structures for water quality treatment. Were able to do a lot of infiltration throughout the site. Met local stormwater volumes through infiltration and rain gardens. Plan shows how water flows through the site. Orange areas southern portion flow to Design point 2 to First Parish Road; Yellow portion flows toward drainage on 3A; Purple portion flows toward the vernal pool and a connection which flows under the town hall complex. The 3 design points meet up and discharges to closed drainage on 3A. To the north the 4th design point is well outside the buffer. Treated the entire site, provided as much stormwater treatment and infiltration as well. Mr. Gallivan went through Merrill's comments and pointed out Josh Bows felt the project met the LID goals. He went through each of the DEP stormwater standards and commented on each one. Ms. Johnson expects the flow to the vernal pool to be within inches of what is there currently, only cleaner. Concern regarding snow storage for no more pollutants. Requested a couple of additional items, but have not formally responded. Page 2 was about the soil borings. Did quite extensive borings; site was comprehensively investigated. Soil material is fairly consistent throughout the whole site; investigation was done in two phases. Total suspended solids – achieving 80% removal. Town of Scituate Water Resource Protection District wants a higher level of treatment, 90%. Bioretention achieves 90%. Vegetation is going to be maintained. Josh was looking for a little more documentation on the existing paved areas to see if they achieved 80%. Mr. Gallivan: During construction do you have places for temporary basins? Identify on the plans. To protect the vernal pool while constructing, plant that first. Add erosion controls around the whole site. Mr. Snow: Parking os fairly close to the vernal pool now, is it too complicated to remove some of the asphalt away from the other side? Open to talking to the town. We are losing quite a bit of open space on the site. Don't think about putting it closer. Try to eliminate some of that asphalt. Mr. Gallivan will be attending the meeting wioth Planning. Motion to continue the hearing to September 2, 2015 at 6:50 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Wetlands Hearing: Amari, 135 Old Oaken Bucket Road (Lot 2A) (new build)* Darren Grady from Grady Consulting and Robert Amari were present at the hearing. Abutters' notification was submitted. Wetland is the existing cranberry bog, proposing a house 51.4' away, do have some grading within 39.4' of the wetlands; septic is outside the buffer zone. Little to no vegetation where the work is proposed. Ms. Scott-Pipes: majority of the house and driveway is between the 50' and 100' buffer. Seller wants to maintain the bogs and needs the access road. Letter from Mr. Greenberg regarding impact on the cranberry bogs and wetland resource with traditional septic systems. Pat think they could be stricter and require a tight tank. Applicant received Board of Health approval; system is as far away as possible. Commission usually asks for some mitigation/buffer. Didn't trigger stormwater bylaw, typically 15,000 sq. ft.; this would be close. Mr. Snow: how much disturbed area? Rep didn't have that information. Majority of work is within Commission jurisdiction; want some concessions; corner of the garage touches the 50'. Mr. Schmid: the only other concern is, this is one site in the 50' and 100, lot beside the 50' goes right through the middle. All part of this lot. Mr. Parys: buffer to a cranberry bog. Made the 50' a no build. If they weren't in the 100' they wouldn't have to come to us. Total 14,600, 6.9% increase. Proposed lawn proposed to stabilize the slope. Some of the lawn is in the 50'. Ms. Caisse: How much of the proposed lawn is in the 50'? 10' x 50' or 60' strip. Mr. Parys: should be 2 to 1 mitigation. Pat will look into the stormwater. Mr. Snow: consensus maybe some plantings between the lawn and the access road. Area that already has a few trees; plant out closer to the cranberry access road; put more of a natural cover to enhance the area not disturbed. We have two buffer zones, try to allow for no construction or lawn in the 50'. The 50' to 100' area is important to protect the wetlands. Area outside the lawn and the bog, enhance what is already there; good for habitat. Leaving a fairly large strip east of the house, even the vegetation disturbance is sparce. Will have a condition for a planting plan; 2 to 1 would be a minimum. Need list of plants,, space and approximate size. Motion to close with a

condition for approved planting plan or we can continue and set orders the same night. Motion to continue to September 2, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Harding. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Thomas, 47 Brook Street (extend & widen paved driveway) (cont.)

Siobhan Thomas was present at the hearing. Driveway slopes and half is paved and half is stone 17' wide by 68' long, would like to extend a bit and pave the stone area which is now 35' and the first 33' is paved; there is a shed beyond it. There are two different options: pave the stone area or widen the driveway at the bottom for a 14' additional section x 12' wide, which would create an l-shaped driveway. Ms. Scott-Pipes: the shed stops a lot of runoff from getting to the brook. Mr. Gallivan: this was before the Rivers Protection Act. Look at the square footage and percentage on the lot – replacing packed gravel 17' x 30', don't think the impact would be great. Not in favor of the extension. Mr. Schmid: increasing impervious surface and increasing runoff to the river 70' away. Mr. Harding: is there any particular reason for asphalt? Wants to put up a basketball hoop. Adding 510 sq. ft. of impervious. Extension would be 12' x 14' another 160 sq ft. Because of existing conditions you could do something at the end of the driveway to mitigate the flow. Don't think the extension part is critical. Maybe some type of french drain or berm or even a small rain garden. Dig out an area at the end of the driveway and plant. Mr. Gallivan: yard waste should be removed from the buffer. Motion for a negative 3 with conditions no extension, planted basin at the end of the driveway - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." 1. Either a crushed stone trench or a vegetated rain garden shall be added to the end of the driveway. 2. Yard waste shall be removed from the wetland buffer. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Polcari, 44 Atlantic Drive (new footings to repair seawall)*

John Polcari was present at the hearing. Seawall was put in by his father in the 1950s. Finally cracked 6 or 7 years ago and fell over, neighbor on the left wall is 1' higher. Has had a problem with washover in every storm. Septic is directly under house. There is a good chance the septic will be lost; it is a year-around home. Did you submit any plans? Cross section? Plan of property that shows the length? Typically some sort of plan is submitted. Just installing new footings and pouring new concrete wall with steel rods in the same footprint. Mr. Gallivan: The request is asking if the WPA is relative and the answer is yes and more information is needed. Mr. Snow: engineered plans are required. Not enough information to make a determination. This falls under the state law, not just the Commission's. Number of engineering firms that do this work. Contact an engineer and have them fill out the paperwork and supply a plan. Motion for a positive 3 determination - The work described on referenced plan(s) and document(s) is within an area subject to protection under the Act and will remove, fill, dredge, or alter that area. Therefore, said work requires the filing of a Notice of Intent. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: McGuire, 4 Milton Street (remove 8'x10' shed & replace by 12'x14')*

Kevin and Gail Maguire were present at the hearing. Proposing to remove an existing shed and replace it with a 12' x 14' in an A flood zone. Putting it on sonotubes; does trigger a building permit. Also a lot of impervious on the site. Picture looks different than the site. Mostly paved around the house and front. The reason for the pavement is that his mother hated sand. There is pavement under the pavement. The back part of the house on River Street is the front of the house. Recently removed some patio blocks, planted conservation mix, and cut up a little pavement, right beyond the bulkhead. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Commission would not be opposed to any further removal of impervious surfaces at the site. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Adams, 47 Jericho Road (moved 1"-3" of sand/replaced drive with gravel)*

Continued from earlier in the evening. Motion to continue the hearing to September 16, 2015 Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Request for Determination: Anderson, 331 Gannett Road (replace 3 catch basins & piping)*

Mr. Snow recused himself. Gary Anderson and Greg Morse were present at the hearing. Proposing to relocate and install three new catch basins that are in disrepair. Also replacing the pipe between the catch basins if necessary; providing sediment/oil traps; creates more protection on site. Two BVWs offsite. Plan shows 50' buffer in red and 100' buffer overlaps on the site. Nothing outside the 100'. All work within the existing asphalt parking lot and repaving disturbed areas. Not proposing any work within a resource area itself. Providing better protection of the wetland downstream. Mr. Gallivan: great improvement on the site. Erosion controls should be either silt sock or haybales, wattles are useless. Mr. Harding: now is there a lack of oil traps on the site? Mr. Schmid: can't believe it discharges right into the river. Essentially it is a maintenance project. Best that can be done within reasonable standards. Motion for a negative 3 determination - "The work described in the Request is within the Buffer Zone, as defined in the regulations, but will not alter an Area subject to protection under the Act. Therefore, said work does not require the filing of a Notice of Intent, subject to the following conditions (if any)." Either haybales or silt sock shall be used for erosion controls, not straw wattles. Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Parys. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: McLean, 9 Oliver Street (elevate)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Town of Scituate, Holly Crest (gravel road and parking area)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Order of Conditions: Congdon, 806 Country Way (septic repair)

Motion to condition the project Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Certificate of Compliance:

Glancy, 250 First Parish Road; Bob Crawford lot of things different from the orders. Never cut down any trees. Site visit Monday or Tuesday.

Partial: Fern Properties, 214 Clapp Road: Not ready. Motion to continue the discussion Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote. Mr. Snow abstained.

Town Pier, 208 Front Street: Pier. OK

Beach Committee: probably will meet 6 to 8 months, once a month. Can it be an alternating spot? Bill Schmid will sign up as the main person.

CORRESPONDENCE

August 6, 2015 – August 19, 2015

1. Request in writing to continue Boyajian, 94 Marion Road to the end of October (in file)
2. Zoning Board re: a raze and rebuilt at 165 Front Street – GRANTED
3. Zoning Board re: 55 Gilson Road raze/rebuilt – GRANTED
4. Planning Board re: 15 Lynda Lane Stormwater Permit – COMMENTS by 8/24/15 – decision must be filed with the Building Commission & Town Clerk by 8/25/15
5. Recording of CofCs for 68-98; 68-1068; 68-2321 154 Jericho Road (in files)
6. Request for all Public documents re: 22 Tichnor Court for purposes of an appeal (in file)
7. DEP File #68-2560 – Public Safety Building (in file)
8. Notification to abutters re: Public Safety Building stating 8/19/15 at 6:15 p.m. Ad was already sent to paper before office received the notification – it will not be heard until 7:15 p.m. (received 2 at 6.74 each) (in file)
9. Notification to abutters re: Public Safety Building for Planning Board 8/27/15 at 7:30 p.m. (received 6 kept 2) (in file)
10. Recording of CofC for 68-254 – Tierney, 6 Three Ring Road (in file)
11. Stormwater Magazine
12. Planning Board Request for Special Permits/Findings re: 6 Turner Road – 8/20/15-appreciate any COMMENTS
13. Division of Marine Fisheries Newsletter
14. Request for CofC for 68-2105 – Solimando, 33 Central Ave. (in file)
15. Revised 8/12/15 plans for 22 Tichnor Court - Septic System and Stormwater Design Plan (in file)
16. Lucas report re: Scituate Middle School
17. Draft Charge for a Beach Commission
18. Request for a CofC for 68-2321 – Clouser & Campbell, 160 Jericho Road (2nd half of 154 Jericho filing) (in file)
19. Request for a Full CofC for 68-1362 – 530 (aka 536) Country Way – Partial is recorded (in file)
20. Conservation Works, LLC re: available help to update community's Open Space & Recreation Plan – anne@conservationworksllc.com (413) 824-1148 – www.conservationworksllc.com
21. Revised plans for NOAA, end of Sunset Road – proposed parking area (in file and members have a copy)
22. DEP File #68-2561 – Amari, 135 Old Oaken Bucket Road (in file)
23. Letter from Allan Greenberg re: 135 Old Oaken Bucket Road (in file)
24. Waterways Commission minutes and e-mail approving Jake Foley's project at Bailey's Causeway (in file)
25. e-mail from Morse Engineering re: 10 Montvale: spoke to Kevin Cafferty and he confirmed the applicant has the rights to install drainage, maintain and regrade the road, as it is private and not town-owned. (in file)
26. Form A Application for 35 Dreamwold Road – COMMENTS BY August 25, 2015.
27. Request to continue Venti, 47-55 Surfside Road to the next available hearing.
28. Request to continue 174 Branch Street to the next available hearing.
29. Merrill report re: 174 Branch – came in 3 minutes before we received the continuance from Morse.

Motion to adjourn Ms. Scott-Pipes. Second Mr. Schmid. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

Meeting adjourned 9:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Logue, Secretary